# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## GENERAL INFORMATION

**Requestor Name** 

JAMES L. CARLISLE, MD

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-15-2375-01

**MFDR Date Received** 

APRIL 2, 2015

**Respondent Name** 

HARTFORD UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

Box Number 47

## REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "We submitted a request for reconsideration to Gallagher Bassett on June 30, 2014, this request was in response to a \$760.88 reduction of the \$1025.73 for the EMG/NCV Designated Doctor Referred Exam performed on April 14, 2014. Unfortunately our request was denied and we are seeking the balance owed to us."

Amount in Dispute: \$264.85

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "A4556 denied correctly – Per CPT, Supplies/Materials Normally Required To Complete The Procedure/Service Should Not Be Billed Separately. Bill is priced correctly."

Response Submitted by: Gallagher Bassett

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
April 14, 2014	CPT Code 99202 New Patient Office Visit	\$177.81	\$0.00
	CPT Code 95886 (X2) Needle EMG	\$16.06	\$0.00
	CPT Code 95911 Nerve Conduction Studies (9-10)	\$45.98	\$0.00
	HCPCS Code A4556 Electrodes	\$25.00	\$0.00
TOTAL		\$264.85	\$0.00

## FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

## **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.

- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputed service.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - 97-The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
  - 150-Payer deems the information submitted does not support this level of service.
  - BL-This bill is a reconsideration of a previously reviewed bill, allowance amounts do not reflect previous payments.

#### **Issues**

- 1. Is the requestor due additional reimbursement for CPT codes 99202, 95886 and 95911?
- 2. Is the benefit for HCPCS code A4556 included in the benefit of another service billed on the disputed date? Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for HCPCS code A4556?

### **Findings**

1. The issue in dispute is whether the requestor is due additional reimbursement for CPT codes 99202, 95886 and 95911.

To determine if the requestor is due additional reimbursement for CPT codes 99202, 95886 and 95911 the Division refers to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(c)(1)(2), which states "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications.

- (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83. For Surgery when performed in a facility setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$66.32.
- (2) The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year. The following hypothetical example illustrates this annual adjustment activity if the Division had been using this MEI annual percentage adjustment: The 2006 Division conversion factor of \$50.83 (with the exception of surgery) would have been multiplied by the 2007 MEI annual percentage increase of 2.1 percent, resulting in the \$51.90 (with the exception of surgery) Division conversion factor in 2007."

To determine the MAR the following formula is used: (DWC Conversion Factor/Medicare Conversion Factor) X Participating Amount = Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR).

The 2014 DWC conversion factor for this service is 55.75.

The Medicare Conversion Factor is 35.8228.

Review of Box 32 on the CMS-1500 the services were rendered in zip code 75247, which is located in Dallas, Texas. Therefore, the Medicare participating amount will be based on the reimbursement for "Dallas, Texas".

Using the above formula, the Division finds the following:

Code	Medicare Participating Amount	Maximum Allowable	Carrier Paid	Due
99202	\$74.99	\$116.70	\$116.70	\$0.00
95886	\$92.99	\$144.72 X 2 = \$289.44	\$289.44	\$0.00
95911	\$227.94	\$354.74	\$354.74	\$0.00

2. According to the explanation of benefits, the respondent denied reimbursement for HCPCS code A4556 based upon reason code "97".

HCPCS Code A4556 is defined as "Electrodes (e.g., apnea monitor), per pair."

Per Medicare guidelines, <u>Transmittal B-03-020</u>, effective February 28, 2003 if Durable Medical Equipment Prosthetics Orthotics and Supplies (DMEPOS) HCPCS codes are incidental to the physician service, it is not separately payable. A review of the submitted documentation does not support a separate service to support billing HCPCS code A4556. As a result, additional reimbursement is not recommended.

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

# **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

# **Authorized Signature**

		05/20/2015
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.